


Q1 Do you agree with the vision for the parish?

Answered: 77 Skipped: 8



| Answer Choices | Responses |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 94.81% 73 |
| No | 5.19% 4 |
| Total | 77 |


| # | How could it be improved? | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Yes we do agree with the vision for the parish and think it has been well thought out. | 3/16/2017 10:23 PM |
| 2 | Yes I agree with the vision, but currently the transport to the villages is very limited. People who cannot drive are restricted from going to the cinema, theatre, restaurants in Lancaster in the evenings as the buses stop too early. With more houses in the villages, the transport system would need to be improved. | 3/16/2017 10:19 PM |
| 3 | My problem with the vision is: Where are we now? Public transport decimated. People without their own transport are prisoners in the village after 6pm, Sundays and Bank Holidays. A tariff on the garden bins. One small Co-op. Post Office under threat. How is the vision going to address these issues that are affecting lives now. | 3/16/2017 8:28 PM |
| 4 | In principle. Very idealistic in many parts. | 3/16/2017 7:18 PM |
| 5 | What makes this vision unique to Caton-with-Littledale? Very woolly. | 3/16/2017 5:53 PM |
| 6 | It is important to retain the character of the villages and not turn it into a small town. | 3/16/2017 4:52 PM |
| 7 | Very clear. I am unsure what the four distinct communities are. If these were clearly stated then this would provide clearer context to the plan. | 3/16/2017 4:14 PM |
| 8 | Caton-with-Littledale Parish will maintain its character as four distinct sustainable and historic communities within the rural setting of the Forest of Bowland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). It will develop in a way that conserves the landscape and scenic beauty of the AONB, to help meet objectively-assessed market and affordable housing needs and ensure a balanced housing structure for all ages of the community, whilst enhancing appropriate local business, landscape, leisure, educational, health and transport facilities so that residents and visitors continue to enjoy this special area into a prosperous future. | 3/16/2017 2:40 PM |
| 9 | I support the vision. | 3/15/2017 10:24 PM |
| 10 | Mention AONB purpose to conserve and enhance natural beauty of the landscape | 3/15/2017 8:53 PM |
| 11 | I think a lot of sensitive thought has gone into the proposals and I applaud them | 3/13/2017 7:26 PM |
| 12 | It is very comprehensive and covers all the relevant issues. The repeated references to the AONB and the landscape and environmental quality of the parish are the key issues to guide sensitive and proportionate development. | 3/12/2017 4:30 PM |
| 13 | by not building any more houses in caton or brookhouse | 3/12/2017 2:33 PM |
| 14 | The "four distinct sustainable and historic communities" ie Caton, Brookhouse, Caton green and Littledale should be clearly identified and possibly delineated. | 3/11/2017 9:13 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 15 | Vision is full of weasel words and all things to all men. It sounds wonderful "broad sunlit uplands" but the devil is in the details "balanced housing structure" is a euphemism for "more" | 3/10/2017 11:57 AM |
| 16 | The vision in general terms sounds fine and covers the important areas of concern for most residents | 3/10/2017 11:44 AM |
| 17 | 80% agree. Safety for pensioners. 4 ladies from Artlebeck and one gentleman have had falls on the pavement next to the Station Hotel Car Park. It has been like this for 6 years. Transport for people working in Kirkby Lonsdale and other towns has gone. We have no transport after tea-time other than Lancaster. Police station - no police presence in Lune Valley for 14 miles. | 3/10/2017 11:27 AM |

Q2 Do you agree with Objectives 1-4 for the Neighbourhood Development Plan?

Answered: 73 Skipped: 12



| Answer Choices | Responses | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Yes | 94.52% | 69 |
| No | 5.48% | 4 |
| Total | | 73 |

| # | How could they be improved? | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | We think the aim and objectives are excellent. If achieved it will bring about the well-being of the residents. | 3/17/2017 11:35 AM |
| 2 | Comprehensive and reasonable | 3/16/2017 6:36 PM |
| 3 | They are good strong objectives that should be adhered to. | 3/16/2017 6:03 PM |
| 4 | Objectives are OK but they seem to focus on building, infrastructure and planning permission (for farm workers). What about addressing leisure, health, education and transport as detailed in the vision. | 3/16/2017 5:55 PM |
| 5 | Fair | 3/16/2017 5:51 PM |
| 6 | Excellent and well-presented | 3/16/2017 4:47 PM |
| 7 | Well thought out and they seem sensible. But they must make sure that no houses are built on greenfield sites. Conversion of existing farm buildings to residential properties is also very good | 3/16/2017 4:42 PM |
| 8 | Broadly in support | 3/16/2017 4:33 PM |
| 9 | very good | 3/16/2017 4:23 PM |
| 10 | We agree to the main points of the objectives as long as they are adhered to. We feel that some of the recent planning applications are excessive for the demand of the local community. We also feel that brownfield sites should be used for development wherever possible, thus preserving our rural environment. | 3/16/2017 4:20 PM |
| 11 | A great way to have simple objectives | 3/16/2017 4:14 PM |


Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 12 | Objective 3 should be amended as follows; New housing development should respond positively to the objectively-assessed market and affordable housing needs of Caton-with-Littledale Parish wherever possible, whilst protecting and conserving the landscape and scenic beauty of the AONB. In order to maintain a sustainable community, it is essential that new housing is provided on a suitable range of sites in suitable locations, and provides a suitable range of tenures, types and sizes for all households, so that they can live in the area in a suitable home. This includes allocating brownfield and greenfield land for new housing development and the sensitive conversion of existing farm buildings to residential properties, particularly where this provides accommodation for agricultural workers and/or improves the viability and security of the farm. | 3/16/2017 2:41 PM |
| 13 | Development is inevitable but must take place sensitively. The landscape and scenery of the parish are beautiful and must be protected at all costs. Where development takes place it should not compromise the rural character of the parish and should not extend beyond the current footprint of the main settlements. The plans for Sycamore risk extending into open countryside whereas the Bargh development does not. The historic character of much of the parish should also be respected and preserved. | 3/15/2017 10:32 PM |
| 14 | Housing development to be small scale | 3/15/2017 8:54 PM |
| 15 | Broadly I do agree however for many years it has been my opinion that it should be the birthright of our youngsters to be granted planning to build a home, non transferable and tied to the parish in some way so that it cannot be a vehicle sell on to outsiders. I.e. It can only be sold to persons within our community, difficult maybe but it could be done and thus create a continued supply of genuinely affordable home for our young people. | 3/14/2017 12:51 PM |
| 16 | I think they are well-informed | 3/13/2017 7:33 PM |
| 17 | I think they are all doable | 3/13/2017 7:26 PM |
| 18 | We think that they are representative of our views for the village future | 3/13/2017 7:12 PM |
| 19 | Objective 1 is of paramount importance and should drive all other considerations. The 7 landscape character types evident within the parish and the AONB give the area its distinctive rural character and this should be the central consideration in any future planning issues. Any building developments should therefore be few in number, small in scale and in accordance with the rural beauty and historic character of the parish and ANOB. The parish does not require bland stereotypical estates of 4 and 5 bed detached housing as seen across the country courtesy of national house building chains. Any small scale developments should reflect the character of the area whilst also meeting the needs of the local population ie affordable housing for those embarking on the housing ladder and also elderly residents in need of sheltered properties. | 3/12/2017 4:54 PM |
| 20 | building houses whilst preserving the landscape & views is a contradiction! what do you mean by "bespoke"? ALL of catons previous developments have been modular | 3/12/2017 2:40 PM |
| 21 | Entirely agree with them and sincerely hope they can be achieved | 3/11/2017 9:17 PM |
| 22 | Objectives 3 and 4 should reflect the potential needs of future economical development as identified in objective 5. They currently give the impression, perhaps unintentionally, of wanting to be too restrictive and biased towards low-cost housing. | 3/11/2017 9:14 PM |
| 23 | Agree that new developments should be in harmony with existing properties and there should be no bolting on to existing sites with newer properties being out of character with the existing buildings | 3/10/2017 4:30 PM |
| 24 | Delete objective 3. We do NOT want any more housing in the village - NO NO NO! Resist further developments. | 3/10/2017 11:58 AM |
| 25 | 3 and 4 : Specify the scope of new development for housing. Cap the number of houses in line with the infrastructure of the village. Influence and shape requirements for public transport. | 3/10/2017 11:40 AM |
| 26 | Its OK for us to voice our views but you only need building companies to say that can't be done and we will end up having houses built like the monstrosities opposite the Station Garage. They do not fit in with the village at all. | 3/10/2017 11:36 AM |
| 27 | We have been told housing will be built for our families and for people who have large houses and need to downsize. This has made a 2-bed bungalow more valuable than a 4-bed family home. We need more starter/smaller homes. My grandchildren can never afford in Caton and will have to rent in Lancaster for ever. Who can save £15,000 as a deposit when you are in early twenties? | 3/10/2017 11:30 AM |
| 28 | Get property / garden boundaries to reflect surrounding fields/landscape and increase tree/hedgerow planting. Enhance energy efficiency of all new builds. | 3/9/2017 12:11 PM |

Q3 Do you agree with objectives 5-8?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 18

Policies Consultation February - March 2017




| Answer Choices | Responses | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Yes | 98.51% | 66 |
| No | 1.49% | 1 |
| Total | | 67 |

| # | How could they be improved? | Date |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | We think the aim and objectives are excellent. If achieved it will bring about the well-being of the residents | 3/17/2017 11:35 AM |
| 2 | Comprehensive and reasonable | 3/16/2017 6:36 PM |
| 3 | They are good strong objectives that should be adhered to. | 3/16/2017 6:04 PM |
| 4 | Objectives are OK but they seem to focus on building, infrastructure and planning permission (for farm workers). What about addressing leisure, health, education and transport as detailed in the vision | 3/16/2017 5:55 PM |
| 5 | Fair | 3/16/2017 5:51 PM |
| 6 | Excellent and well-presented | 3/16/2017 4:48 PM |
| 7 | Broadly in support | 3/16/2017 4:33 PM |
| 8 | Very good | 3/16/2017 4:23 PM |
| 9 | A great way to have simple objectives | 3/16/2017 4:14 PM |
| 10 | Flood risk must be minimised after the experiences of the winter of 2015-16. Further housing, economic and infrastructure developments risk speeding up runoff into local becks and ultimately the Lune. Development should therefore be modest and where it does take place should not add to runoff and flood risk. | 3/15/2017 10:36 PM |
| 11 | Protect recreational spaces | 3/15/2017 9:00 PM |
| 12 | Important that recreational facilities are for all age groups | 3/15/2017 1:27 PM |
| 13 | I think they are well-informed | 3/13/2017 7:33 PM |
| 14 | I think they are all doable | 3/13/2017 7:27 PM |
| 15 | We think that they are representative of our views for the village future | 3/13/2017 7:12 PM |
| 16 | I agree in as much as the local economy and employment opportunities should be enhanced but again any such moves should be proportionate and consistent with the rural and historic character of the parish in its AONB setting. | 3/12/2017 4:54 PM |
| 17 | the objectives could be improved by NOT using glib promotional phrases. | 3/12/2017 2:46 PM |
| 18 | Entirely agree with them and sincerely hope they can be achieved | 3/11/2017 9:17 PM |
| 19 | Retain green spaces, fields, agricultural land to preserve teh feeling of rural village life. | 3/10/2017 4:30 PM |
| 20 | Excellent - I particularly like the emphasis in all the objectives focusing on the all ages of the community. Should this be specifically mentioned in objective 6 - community facilities and recreation for all ages, ie young children, teenagers, adults and older people | 3/10/2017 12:04 PM |
| 21 | But depends on what you mean by recreation - no more pandering to walkers and cyclists | 3/10/2017 11:59 AM |
| 22 | Objectives 1-8 are fine-sounding anf admirable in teh scope. My concern is whether, collectively, we residents and the steering group will have the "teeth" to ensure they are carried through. | 3/10/2017 11:45 AM |

Q4 Consultation Questions – Policy Options 1 and 2. Do you agree with this approach for protecting the landscape and natural environment?

Answered: 37 Skipped: 48



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 5.41% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 18.92% | 75.68% | 37 | 4.59 |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | | |


| # | Should anything be added or changed? What open spaces, public or private, should be protected? Do you have any other comments about protecting the landscape and natural environment? (Document questions 2-4) | Date |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 1 | cant think of many sections of land not privately owned - ? memorial garden -so it will / should be the owners decision on how they would like to be involved | 3/20/2017 3:06 PM |
| 2 | All the green spaces are vitally important especially as the motorway is so close. Trees helps to absorb carbon dioxide and noise. Replacement of ancient oak to retain historical charm of village. Encourage only indigenous tree planting. Brown sites only should be newly built on. | 3/16/2017 8:43 PM |
| 3 | Protect the fields between Caton and Brookhouse, and the Station Field. I think there should have been more attention to the Bargh's site: all trees cut down. They could have left one or two. Hopefully more attention will be given to trees on future development sites. | 3/16/2017 8:14 PM |
| 4 | Protect Fell View and the Gala Field | 3/16/2017 8:04 PM |
| 5 | Add bees and wildflower stretches/meadows. Protect Bull Beck Picnic Site, Parking area up by Cragg Farm/Baines Cragg | 3/16/2017 7:35 PM |
| 6 | HOW MANY VILLAGE GREEN SPACES ARE THERE? | 3/16/2017 7:28 PM |
| 7 | All should be protected, especially Station Sports Field, Fell View Play Area, school fields, cycle path. | 3/16/2017 6:16 PM |
| 8 | More emphasis on enhancing the landscape rather than just maintaining/conserving it. | 3/16/2017 6:04 PM |
| 9 | Protect green spaces. Would prefer no green spaces to be built on. We moved here to be in the country. | 3/16/2017 5:44 PM |
| 10 | Gala Field and school playing fields. | 3/16/2017 5:12 PM |
| 11 | Preservation of the green spaces and character of the Forest of Bowland has my full support. | 3/16/2017 4:53 PM |
| 12 | Need to be specific on what environmental assets are to be protected, eg green dspace and that these considerations take priority over housing growth. We do not want the village to become a suburb of Lancaster or commuterville with access to the M6. Green spaces are vital for recreation, especially places for children to play. While teh villlage may be surrounded by countryside this is not necessarily safwe or accessible for children to play. We need to protect our recerational spaces from development. | 3/16/2017 4:36 PM |
| 13 | Policy Option 2 - The requirement for new development to conserve the landscape and scenic beauty of the AONB is contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and does not need to be repeated or elaborated upon in the Neighbourhood Plan. | 3/16/2017 2:42 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 14 | Both policy options are entirely appropriate and send the right messages about protecting moorland, farmland, woods, open countryside and other valued open/green spaces. | 3/15/2017 10:39 PM |
| 15 | Must ensure village remains in the AONB. Must ensure decent development where necessary. Protect Station Cricket and Sports Field and Fell View area. | 3/15/2017 9:31 PM |
| 16 | Definitely ensure the following sites are strongly protected from being developed: Caton Community school field and grounds, station field, fell view playing field, millennium way. See if millennium way can be extended to claughton and Hornby. | 3/15/2017 9:00 PM |
| 17 | Land down Holme Lane, Sledging Field. | 3/15/2017 8:08 PM |
| 18 | strongly agree | 3/14/2017 1:33 PM |
| 19 | There is one thing I believe should be considered, although we live on Moorside the problem does not affect us directly but I can assure the committee there is a serious parking problem on Moorside duering school time from it seems people working in the school ? If this is so I think it not unreasonable to use a small area of the school field for staff parking. | 3/14/2017 1:02 PM |
| 20 | Protect football pitch and Fell View | 3/13/2017 7:17 PM |
| 21 | Strongly agree. As in previous answers, the AONB setting of the parish should rule supreme in any development considerations. Any developments should be small in number, limited in scale and wherever possible on brownfield or infill sites. All possible efforts should be made to avoid extending the outer limits of the key settlements into open countryside and farmland therby preserving views across moorland, farmland and the Lune floodplain especially where these are crossed by well used footpaths and bridleways. | 3/12/2017 4:54 PM |
| 22 | Change the prase "could be" to "should be" Don't build in Caton or Brook house & the surrounding countryside will be protected from encroachment,light spillage won't be increased,existing green infrastructure assets will be protected, the skyline preserved, ect,ect. | 3/12/2017 2:57 PM |
| 23 | No more wind farms in this area of outstanding landscapes. Green spaces - those listed and the green fields around Caton Green. Option 2 is very well considered. | 3/11/2017 9:22 PM |
| 24 | All green spaces should be protected. I hope the NP will influence future development | 3/11/2017 9:04 PM |
| 25 | Not just protection from major development or significant development (as in option 2) but also smaller developments which could in laer years provide access for larger development. ALL green spaces, fields etc. if possible to preserve the natural rural scene. Brownfield sites should where possible be used for new developments. Involve other agencies/groups, eg positive planting of wild flower meadows to encourage the bee population. | 3/10/2017 4:34 PM |
| 26 | Sports Field, Fell View Play Area, Caton Community School field, St Pauls's School field | 3/10/2017 12:05 PM |
| 27 | Strongly agree | 3/10/2017 11:16 AM |

Q5 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 3: Do you agree with this approach for protecting the historic environment?

Answered: 33 Skipped: 52



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 6.06% 2 | 0.00% 0 | 6.06% 2 | 12.12% 4 | 75.76% 25 | 33 | 4.52 |

Q6 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 76

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Caton Green has again been ignored. A lot of terrible building mistakes by "then" planners have been allowed in the past and even recent past. This must not occur again unless the planners wish this village to look like Blackpool front. | 3/16/2017 8:45 PM |
| 2 | Not sure how best to protect the historic environment | 3/16/2017 6:17 PM |
| 3 | Building considerably and tastefully. Looking after old buildings and such as the Fishstones on The Croft. | 3/16/2017 5:14 PM |
| 4 | No. | 3/15/2017 10:40 PM |
| 5 | Important that building materials are sympathetic, ie not like the new Kingsway development in town! | 3/15/2017 1:29 PM |
| 6 | Strongly agree - nothing needs to be added or changed. | 3/12/2017 4:54 PM |
| 7 | I disagree because, 1/ the use of phrase "could be" & 2/ the use of those awful promotional phrases | 3/12/2017 3:05 PM |
| 8 | Conservation should not prevent thoughtful, well-planned alteration and development | 3/11/2017 9:26 PM |
| 9 | Where buildings are adjacent to stone built properties, then an appropriate match of re-constituted stone or real stone should be used to reflect the local existing stone buildings. Recent new builds such as Moor Platt have not been close enough and do not match the area. | 3/9/2017 12:17 PM |

Q7 Do you have any other comments about protecting the historic environment?


Answered: 12 Skipped: 73

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | ?historic - any buildings not privately / trust owned nothing otherwise feels historic | 3/20/2017 3:07 PM |
| 2 | LEAVE IT ALONE. STOP BUILDING MONSTROSITIES. | 3/16/2017 7:29 PM |
| 3 | More plaques explaining the history. Protect sites of historic interest by not building on them. Or change them sympathetically. | 3/16/2017 5:45 PM |
| 4 | No. | 3/15/2017 10:40 PM |
| 5 | Suitable planning and buildings of good quality | 3/15/2017 9:31 PM |
| 6 | Are there guidelines about what is/isn't acceptable in conservation area | 3/15/2017 9:02 PM |
| 7 | Only that one must consider we live in the 21st century and occupiers have the right to enjoy today's conveniences without destroying the main character of a building. A point in mind sash windows can be replaced with modern double glazed mock sash windows with little or no effect on the appearance of the buildings. There are other similar considerations as well. | 3/14/2017 1:08 PM |
| 8 | If building restrict with good planning | 3/13/2017 7:18 PM |
| 9 | Don't build any more houses in caton or brookhouse | 3/12/2017 3:05 PM |
| 10 | Currently there has been inappropriate development/alteration permitted eg Caton green Road. However small alterations in Caton Green which are sensitive and well-considered have been turned down. The plan should address these issues. | 3/11/2017 9:26 PM |
| 11 | Can relevant buildings be listed? | 3/11/2017 9:04 PM |
| 12 | The Conservation Area and listed buildings should use stone from local sources to match. | 3/9/2017 12:17 PM |

Q8 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 4 : Do you agree with this approach for providing new homes?

Answered: 45 Skipped: 40

Policies Consultation February - March 2017



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 6.67% 3 | 2.22% 1 | 0.00% 0 | 24.44% 11 | 66.67% 30 | 45 | 4.42 |

Q9 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 65

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | It is important to have a wide range of housing of various sizes to accommodate different age groups and their needs. | 3/17/2017 11:42 AM |
| 2 | New developments should be in keeping with the traditional architecture of the village. Local stone (where possible) should be used etc. | 3/17/2017 7:47 AM |
| 3 | No builder-led mass housing of poor identical repetitive nature. | 3/16/2017 8:48 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 4 | <p>HOUSING: QUESTIONS 9 & 11 The approach to housing seems a bit limited. The emphasis on brownfield + infill + conversions will not add up to many new units. Policy Option 5 sets out excellent criteria for an AONB area like this. But it leaves the location of applications on green field sites to chance. They are likely to emerge, not on the basis of suitability, but on the basis of which landowner is prepared to sell. Others like the current Sycamore proposal may crop up. Meanwhile demands for new housing locally are likely to grow over the next decade, and the application of tight criteria is likely to get more difficult. Yet the short term pressures of the wider context remain stark. The revised version of the City Council's draft of its new Local Plan is due towards the end of 2017. This is the document, that, together with comments from the 6-week consultation programme, will be submitted to the Govt to provide the basis for the Inspector's Examination in 2018. The finalised Local Plan will override other plans. In the current draft of the Local Plan the housing needs & allocations of the villages preparing neighbourhood plans have largely be left out. But, from talking to planners at one of the recent Drop In sessions, it seems very likely that, as they prepare the final draft of their Local Plan, they will be aiming to include some green field site proposals around at least some of the villages that are preparing neighbourhood plans. The final version of the Caton-with-Littledale Neighbourhood Plan is thus likely to be rigorously questioned by the City Council planners needing to find some green field sites; and by the Inspector trying to understand why emphasis is apparently on a preventative strategy with tight criteria. ***** Perhaps, over the months ahead, it might help to think a little about a fall-back strategy. Maybe this could offer ideas for dealing with the period between the publication of the final version of the Neighbourhood Plan, through the detailed discussions with the City Council around the Local Plan, to the Inspector's visit. I can fully appreciate why naming specific sites - as at Wray - leads to problems. Although I've known this area well for many years, I've only lived here five minutes. It's thus not for me to say which sites could be identified. Also I don't know the history/ownership of potential green field sites. But, I can see from my experience of working for years in and around planning, that it's likely that sites will get named if they are not identified in the Neighbourhood Plan. In cases like this where there is a clash between two levels of local govt, it is often better to be prepared with some kind of compromise suggestion - rather than find a controversial proposal is being imposed. The Neighbourhood Plan's Policy Option 5 provides an excellent starting point for picking 1 or 2 potential green field sites. It would be possible to pick sites that both fit those criteria, and have two other critical features that the planners will be looking for: a landowner willing to sell so the site is available a developer assessing the site as deliverable There might be an opportunity after the Neighbourhood Plan has been completed for you to draw from your very full consultations, and discuss some of the 'least bad' options. You'd then be in a stronger position to answer questions posed by the planners and the Inspector. Otherwise, control will be lost, and other cases like Sycamore are likely to crop up. The location of new green field sites outside the urban area over the next decade once Halton has been filled up, will be left to chance... and tight criteria are likely to bevome more 'flexible' under pressure... Just a suggestion you understand - the ramblings of a blow-in, an offcomden, an incomer...!</p> | 3/16/2017 7:42 PM |
| 5 | More emphasis needed on affordable homes/flats? for young people (not necessarily families) and the elderly. | 3/16/2017 6:42 PM |
| 6 | This objective should maybe be the main priority | 3/16/2017 6:05 PM |
| 7 | SHLAA sites 99 and 100 My clients therefore wish to engage with the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group to discuss the potential of the site at Quernmore Road, and propose its inclusion as a housing allocation in the forthcoming draft version of the Caton with Littledale Neighbourhood Plan. | 3/16/2017 3:00 PM |
| 8 | <p>Caton with Littledale was designated as a Neighbourhood Area for the purposes of Neighbourhood Planning on the 2nd July 2015. The City Council expects Neighbourhood Plan Groups to have full consideration of the strategic policies within the draft Local Plan it has identified for the purposes of neighbourhood planning. These are set out in Appendix C of the Part One: Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD and for the purposes of the Caton with Littledale neighbourhood plan, comprise;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy SP2: Lancaster District Settlement Hierarchy • Policy SP3: Development Strategy for Lancaster District • Policy SP7: The Delivery of New Homes • Policy H1: Future Housing Growth • Policy H3: Housing Development in Rural Areas <p>The proposed neighbourhood plan must therefore conform with these strategic policies to ensure that a sound and robust document is produced which meets the basic condition tests of paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 This is recognised in the introduction and background sections of the Policies Consultation Document and the Steering Group recognises its responsibility to approach the process correctly and to ensure that the neighbour plan is not used as a means to veto or plan to minimise growth. The neighbourhood plan must be prepared positively and pro-actively to accommodate housing growth and its share of the objectively assessed need and residual housing requirement for Lancaster district up to 2031. These are objectively assessed housing needs and are not perceived. The message is reinforced by the Government in its recent housing White Paper 'Fixing our Broken Housing Market', DCLG, February 2017, where the section 'Getting plans in place - Making sure every community has an up-to-date, sufficiently ambitious plan' (paragraph 1.6) states;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We [the Government] are legislating through the Neighbourhood Planning Bill to put beyond doubt the requirement for all areas to be covered by a plan. Authorities that fail to ensure an up-to-date plan is in place are failing their communities, by not recognising the homes and other facilities that local people need, and relying on ad hoc, speculative development that may not make the most of their area's potential. A key issue for the emerging neighbourhood plan is the question of whether a Neighbourhood Planning Group should formulate its own housing requirement (number) by a 'bottom-up' process, or be allocated one by the Local Planning Authority in a 'top-down' process. The White Paper 'Fixing our Broken Housing Market', DCLG, February 2017 suggests that to help unblock this issue and improve the neighbourhood planning process, • We propose to amend planning policy so that neighbourhood planning groups can obtain a housing requirement figure from their local planning authority, to help avoid delays in getting a neighbourhood plan in place. (paragraph 1.43) <p>There is no reason why this approach cannot apply in an AONB and it is incorrect to imply that the application of paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework exempts a neighbourhood planning group or local planning authority from accommodating its objectively assessed housing</p> | 3/16/2017 2:44 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

requirement in a designated area. There is a responsibility on them to do all they can to meet their housing requirements and communities must still aim to achieve a sufficiently ambitious local or neighbourhood plan, and maximise the amount of the housing requirement it can accommodate and the amount of suitable land it can identify for housing development, without significantly and demonstrably harming the primary purpose of the AONB designation. Policy SP2 of the draft Part One: Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD defines Caton as one of 18 sustainable settlements in the Lancaster district settlement hierarchy. It expects that these settlements 'will provide the focus of growth for Lancaster district outside the main urban areas subject in the AONBs to the constraints of the protected landscapes.' It is therefore correct that, as the most sustainable, well-located and accessible settlement within the western part of the AONB, Caton should provide the focus and opportunity for future growth to support its role and key services as a Local Rural Centre (draft Policy TC1.15), and meet the needs of the wider surrounding part of the AONB and maintain a thriving and vibrant rural community. The Neighbourhood Planning Group must therefore address the housing requirement for the neighbourhood area and determine whether it requires the support and assistance of the Local Planning Authority to help it agree the number of new dwellings the area will plan to accommodate within the remaining plan period to 2031, or whether it will undertake its own evidenced-based work to reach a 'capacity-derived' requirement produced by appropriate landscape and visual and technical assessment. Without undertaking this exercise it is difficult for the Policy Consultation document to conclude that the 91 committed dwellings since 2011 are expected to be a major contribution to development requirements in the Parish. The neighbourhood plan must also plan to meet market housing needs and not solely affordable housing requirements. It is acknowledged that emphasis should be given to local affordable needs in the AONB and a higher percentage (50%) is required subject to being financially viable, but it must be recognised that market housing is needed to facilitate this level of provision if meaningful affordable housing is to be provided. It terms of the mix of housing, it is agreed that an ageing population requires smaller and specialist housing to meet the needs of older people and to encourage downsizing, and there will be a need for bungalows, although the opportunity for taller apartment development in the AONB is questionable. An ageing population also means there is more need for homes for younger people, so smaller houses, starter homes and key worker homes for smaller households, single people, couples, first-time buyers are all needed. It should be recognised that people and households require space however, and young people will want to grow and raise families, but once settled in a community they should not have to leave to find suitable housing. There is therefore a continued need for some family housing alongside the smaller homes needed for young and older people, and a good overall mix is required to support a balanced, sustainable and thriving community to 2031. All components of a development plan must also be robust and flexible. They must provide certainty and they must be deliverable. There is a significant risk that the currently proposed criteria-based approach to housing growth in the neighbourhood plan will not deliver development as it will not provide the certainty of delivery that the housebuilding market requires. It carries no certainty that a planning application for housing development will be granted and consequently, a sufficient housing supply will not be maintained and the Parish and City Council will remain at risk of unplanned development by not keeping an up-to-date development plan in place. The AONB does not guarantee protection against this risk as the under-delivery of housing and the lack of available land supply can amount to exceptional circumstances for the purposes of paragraph 116 of the NPPF. A settlement boundary approach is better, providing that it is drawn widely enough around Caton to enable sufficient greenfield land to be enclosed to allow future development to take place, but this still leaves planning applications subject to significant risk and uncertainty. The appropriate solution is to identify and allocate land which the Policies Consultation document currently rejects. The White Paper 'Fixing our Broken Housing Market', DCLG, February 2017 proposes a number of changes to the National Planning Policy Framework including; • Highlighting the opportunities that neighbourhood plans present for identifying and allocating sites that are suitable for housing, drawing on the knowledge of local communities. (paragraph 1.33) It is misleading for paragraph 4.15(1) of the Policies Consultation document to conclude that 'there are no obvious plots for a site allocation exercise' as the Lancaster Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) 2015 forming part of the Local Plan evidence base, clearly identifies suitable sites and these are summarised in the neighbourhood plan policy and evidence base review of July 2016. The 2015 SHLAA demonstrates that the majority of the greenfield land surrounding Caton is undevelopable for various reasons, such that the village can only be expanded in one direction and that suitable land does exist on Quernmore Road, albeit subject to testing against the primary purpose of the AONB designation. My clients own the land at Escowbeck Farm west of Quernmore Road, comprising SHLAA Site Refs. 99 and 100, which are identified as being suitable, available and achievable for new housing development and deliverable in the short term in years 6 to 10 of the plan period 2011 to 2031, and received some support in the earlier consultation stages of the neighbourhood plan preparation. The site relates well to the geography and character of Caton and lies immediately adjacent to the current boundary and close to and within easy access of the village centre and local community facilities and amenities. It is enclosed by dense blocks of woodland and tree belts and its topography means it is concealed from extensive views within the wider AONB landscape. It can deliver a beneficial mix of new market homes and a high proportion of affordable homes, to meet the needs of young people, older and retired people and families, as well as the potential to deliver other on or off-site community benefits.

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 9 | I support the idea that developments should be few and small and where they do take place, targeted at affordable housing to retain young families coupled with sheltered and other appropriate housing for the elderly. Large, expensive, semi and detached housing from national house building companies are not required and should be resisted at all costs. | 3/15/2017 10:46 PM |
| 10 | Houses should be as low energy as possible. New developments should have good access for wheelchairs etc. Development should not be allowed in areas that flood. Thought should be given to water management on the site. | 3/15/2017 9:55 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 11 | Objective isn't tight enough if the aim is for majority affordable housing (though of course it's the overall Local Development Plan which will determine this). Perhaps include some reference to housing needs surveys to ensure housing matches local need, or the objectively assessed need for the area. | 3/15/2017 9:45 PM |
| 12 | Need to emphasise that developments need to be small scale to protect AONB countryside | 3/15/2017 9:04 PM |
| 13 | Consideration to be taken for not overcrowding developments, decent spaces and green areas between houses along with parking facilities so that on road parking is reduced to minimum. | 3/15/2017 1:32 PM |
| 14 | Strongly avoid any development where the site/land is kept as leasehold by the builder or others, it's either completely freehold or completely leasehold. | 3/14/2017 1:21 PM |
| 15 | The need for property to rent should be included | 3/13/2017 10:15 AM |
| 16 | Strongly agree. | 3/12/2017 4:55 PM |
| 17 | If I comment I will just be repeating myself and I will read (sound?) just like that policy document. | 3/12/2017 3:14 PM |
| 18 | Strong emphasis on brownfield sites | 3/11/2017 9:27 PM |
| 19 | Houses should not be built in areas liable to flooding. | 3/10/2017 12:07 PM |
| 20 | The priority is sustainability of the local community, enabling those that want to stay in the area but need to move to do so locally where ever possible. | 3/9/2017 12:28 PM |

Q10 What sorts of homes are needed in Caton-with-Littledale (types, sizes, tenures, affordable, open market)?

Answered: 30 Skipped: 55

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Mixed housing required to encourage a genuine mix of buyers and tenants. A diverse population is preferable. Affordable, family homes are essential. | 3/17/2017 7:47 AM |
| 2 | It will be important to ensure that affordable housing really means that – and so local people are able to remain in the villages where they grew up. Too many times houses in villages cost more than a young family can afford to buy. It will also be important that the affordable houses include a caveat which prevents people buying them for holiday lets or as 2nd homes. | 3/16/2017 10:21 PM |
| 3 | Well designed eith roof lines comaptible with old standign architecture, using local stone facing and indigenous style. | 3/16/2017 8:48 PM |
| 4 | Affordable, to rent or buy and sheltered housing. | 3/16/2017 8:36 PM |
| 5 | I would like to see more facilities to enable local elderly people to move smoothly from own homes to sheltered accommodation to residential care with dedicated respite beds. This is particularly important now we have lost Moor Platt Residential Care Home. | 3/16/2017 8:16 PM |
| 6 | A mix. Mainly open market. 2/3 bedroom starter homes. Nt more than 2 storey, in keepingong with existing houses | 3/16/2017 8:06 PM |
| 7 | Emphasis affordable first-tome buyers to get children and continuity into the village. Also small houses for older people | 3/16/2017 7:42 PM |
| 8 | Smaller, ore in keeping with existing houses than of late. More affordable but only for people who can show a strong connection to the village, eg born here, family here. | 3/16/2017 6:18 PM |
| 9 | I would like to see an objective about making sure there is enough sheltered accommodation linked to residential care for the elderly - particularly after the loss of Moor Platt APH. | 3/16/2017 6:12 PM |
| 10 | Affordable family homes. Build on sites such as Bargh's. | 3/16/2017 5:46 PM |
| 11 | Finding affordable homes for all local people and keeping our village status is the most important issue. Use Bargh's site and otehr brownfield sites. What about the land behind the Catholic Church? We need 2 or 3 bedroomed homes, can be semi or terraced for young people, 1 bed bungalows for old people | 3/16/2017 5:16 PM |
| 12 | Should be careful to state that small-scale growth only is required. The AONB should not be required to accommoate development really needed in Lancaster/Morecambe. | 3/16/2017 4:38 PM |
| 13 | see above | 3/16/2017 2:44 PM |
| 14 | See above. | 3/15/2017 10:46 PM |
| 15 | A really good variety with a good proportion of affordable and rented and suitable for older people. | 3/15/2017 9:55 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 16 | There are a lot of older people living alone in housing more suitable to accommodate families. If good quality homes for older singles and couples were built, this could relieve the housing situation. | 3/15/2017 9:33 PM |
| 17 | A range of different types apart from bungalows as there are lots already. | 3/15/2017 9:04 PM |
| 18 | Houses to encourage young families to stay within the village. | 3/15/2017 8:10 PM |
| 19 | A mix of all sizes and prices. | 3/15/2017 1:32 PM |
| 20 | A good mix is always useful but see my earlier comment regarding our young people and planning | 3/14/2017 1:21 PM |
| 21 | Use brownfield sites. Revert to council housing but don't sell them like M.T. | 3/13/2017 7:19 PM |
| 22 | As above - we need to avoid estates of 4 and 5 bed detached housing as per those on national house building chains. CwL has unique characteristics and does not need to look like the south east of England commuter belt. Affordable housing for the young and elderly are what is required. | 3/12/2017 4:55 PM |
| 23 | None. There isn't any more room in Caton or Brookhouse for new houses. There are lots of empty properties in Morecambe | 3/12/2017 3:14 PM |
| 24 | As indicated | 3/11/2017 9:27 PM |
| 25 | Smaller cheaper homes to rent or buy | 3/11/2017 9:05 PM |
| 26 | Sheltered housing for elderly residents who wish to downsize so freeing existing housing for others. Building new executive style 3+ bedroom housing does not benefit local residents. | 3/10/2017 4:36 PM |
| 27 | Of the three most recent housing developments in CwL (Moor Platt, Bargh's site, Sycamore) the majority of houses on each site are 4 bedrooms and thus open market housing. This does not cater for local needs - for residents in the village wanting to downsize (mainly older people) or younger local people wanting to have a home of their own 2-3 bedroom at an affordable price. Recent housing research shows more people renting now than x years ago and social housing stock is greatly reduced. I do not know what "intermediate housing" is except that it's not proper affordable housing. CwL needs more 1/2/3 homes, some inclusion of affordable and rented. Lune Valley Rural Housing have started building some new homes in other villages - it would be good to have more LVRH housing in the parish. The practice of selling off greenbelt sites (and in an AONB) to the highest bidder is legal but immoral. The Sycamore site is not acceptable and I hope that with the NDP in progress we are able to squash this planning application. | 3/10/2017 12:13 PM |
| 28 | A mixture. | 3/10/2017 12:07 PM |
| 29 | That means a mix of open market, affordable and intermediate homes as well as some rental primarily of smaller numbers of bedrooms (1 or 2) and of suitably sized rooms for people to want to downsize into. Also some near shops and transport suitable for frail people including flats / terraces should land become available (eg if highways dept decided to move) | 3/9/2017 12:28 PM |
| 30 | Would prefer not to add to our already growing community, higher population will change the village rural feel | 3/7/2017 7:24 PM |

Q11 Do you have any other comments about providing new homes?

Answered: 20 Skipped: 65


| # | Responses | Date |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | brown field sites [if still called that] - definitely NOT on flood plains . there is a general need for all 'types' of housing - the difficulty is who will subsidise the costs - current 'affordable housing' in village has not always been sold to those who 'should' be eligible | 3/20/2017 3:09 PM |
| 2 | Ensure they are in keeping with the areas architecture, using locally sourced external materials wherever feasible. | 3/17/2017 7:47 AM |
| 3 | With respect to the affordable housing, it would be good if local people could have priority opportunity to buy before offering to other people wishing to move in to the village. | 3/16/2017 10:21 PM |
| 4 | Feel need sheltered housing, and under it local, could mean those from Caton/Brookhouse matter more than whole of Lancaster area. Feel more parking needed with Bargh development. Could not council agree short-term parking with the Station hotel pub weekdays when pub not busy and not needing the car park. Brookhouse Road is already hazardous with parked cars and with more traffic will get worse. | 3/16/2017 10:11 PM |
| 5 | Only on brown sites. No statement homes which in the past have become a laughable talking point and can be seen across the valley and ruin the landscape. Nice homes, but perhaps more suitable for a seaside town. | 3/16/2017 8:48 PM |
| 6 | As far as possible on brownfield sites, secondly infill. Keep within the existing boundaries of Caton and Brookhouse. | 3/16/2017 8:06 PM |
| 7 | WHAT LAND IS AVAILABLE? LAND WILL BE SOLD TO BUILDERS WHO WANT TO MAKE THE BIGGEST PROFIT - REGARDLESS OF ANYTHING ELSE | 3/16/2017 7:29 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 8 | Housing should be geared to all members of the community. How much new building has been allocated for elderly residents in Caton? Eg 1-bedr bungalows at reasonable prices? It seems to me that all the new building is directed to young families who sadly seem to contribute nothing to the community. How many new young faces do you see at social club events and WI , library borrowers etc. What plans are there to integrate new-comers into Caton social life? | 3/16/2017 7:21 PM |
| 9 | Housing fo relderly could have been partially solved if the original cheme for bungalows etc. had been carried out on Moor Platt instead of teh present horrible development - haven't yet met anyone who likes it! No green spaces to be used for housing, if other places might be suitable and then only limited. Don't forget AONB. | 3/16/2017 7:15 PM |
| 10 | If new housing is to be built, your phrase "key environmental assets should be maintained" is paramount. For instance the view as you leave Caton via Quernmore Road towards Gresgarth would be ruined by a housing estate. | 3/16/2017 4:50 PM |
| 11 | I do think many people are hesitant of new building and development but it really is ineviatbale that the village is going to grow. | 3/16/2017 4:16 PM |
| 12 | see above | 3/16/2017 2:44 PM |
| 13 | Could housing associations or community land trusts become involved? | 3/15/2017 9:04 PM |
| 14 | Criteria need to be as strict as possible without being too constraining a difficult balance | 3/15/2017 8:10 PM |
| 15 | I can already hear the cries of anguish but I have long held the belief that we should not be building on prime farm land but on marginal land on or close moorland. Moorland produces little food by comparison with that produced by prime farm land and we need food to survive. | 3/14/2017 1:21 PM |
| 16 | Only that the issues identified in policy option 4 above are appropriate. | 3/12/2017 4:55 PM |
| 17 | The roads are busy enough allready, without increasing the population, by building more properties | 3/12/2017 3:14 PM |
| 18 | Quality materials (as option 5) | 3/11/2017 9:27 PM |
| 19 | As above - new 3+ bedroom housing developments does not help teh local village residents. | 3/10/2017 4:36 PM |
| 20 | The existing population does not appear to need more executive 4 bedroom homes, though some want larger homes as their families grow that are within their price range. Allowing other people to downsize may partly answer this issue. | 3/9/2017 12:28 PM |

Q12 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 5: Do you agree with this approach for encouraging high quality design and sustainability?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 47



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 5.26% | 0.00% | 2.63% | 10.53% | 81.58% | 38 | 4.63 |
| | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 31 | | |

Q13 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 75

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Brownfield sites only. | 3/16/2017 8:49 PM |
| 2 | In the style of the village house. 1 storey stone built with garage. | 3/16/2017 6:33 PM |
| 3 | No. | 3/15/2017 10:46 PM |
| 4 | I would like to see more in terms of design, particularly in relation to materials. whilst 'sensitive' conversion of materials is welcomed, the use of materials such as stone rather than reconstituted stone (such as at Moor Platt) would help to conserve the character of the area/village and avoid "anywhere" housing. Developers/housebuilders will be able to absorb this cost due to high land values in the Parish so I believe the Neighbourhood Plan could do more to insist on this, especially as it would not be contrary to the overall development plan (Local Plan) or NPPF. It is areas like design where Neighbourhood Plans can make a difference. Negotiation can and should be tougher - it will not impact viability of schemes to the extent that they won't happen so let's press for more schemes that reflect our rural surroundings. | 3/15/2017 9:49 PM |
| 5 | Every home should have sufficient car parking for the potential residents, keeping in mind today most people have at least 2 cars plus offspring will eventually have cars as well. No use of the government saying we should use public transport in the foreseeable future it ain't going to happen! | 3/14/2017 1:21 PM |
| 6 | Density of housing on any site is the most important planning issue. Moor Platt is the worst rural site in the Lune Valley. Too dense and too bland, external appearance not in keeping with the village style. All estates should vary in external looks to suit the neighbourhood. | 3/13/2017 7:22 PM |
| 7 | Strongly disagree with the statement that extensions of existing developments be subordinate to existing buildings. Living in Brookhouse provides a limited view of housing sizes which limits options to stay in the area with a growing family. We need to extend our property to allow our house to be useful. However this restriction on size of extension would prevent this. This is at odds with another proposal to force all developments to include larger homes as a percentage of any future development. | 3/12/2017 5:29 PM |
| 8 | No | 3/12/2017 4:55 PM |
| 9 | quote "requiring new development to be of a scale, mass and built form which responds to the characteristics of the site and its surroundings, ensuring that building(s) height, scale, massing and form (including roofline), do not disrupt the visual amenities of the street scene or impact on any significant wider landscape views"..... well if there's no building work none of the above will happen quote "encouraging sustainable, energy efficient designs and use of reclaimed materials from sustainable sources" that word..."encouraging"...but not "forcing" eh? people are "encouraged" to drive at 20 MPH through Caton & Brookhouse but they're not "forced" to are they! | 3/12/2017 3:26 PM |
| 10 | All this is excellent and will, if adopted, help to prevent some of the more recent placing of "statement" buildings in inappropriate locations and the use of materials which are not in keeping with surrounding buildings. Reconstituted or artificial "stone" is not always a good long-term solution as some types do not show natural aging in for eg colour - what Ruskin called "that golden stain of time" | 3/11/2017 9:31 PM |

Q14 Do you have any other comments about encouraging high quality design and sustainability?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 67


| # | Responses | Date |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | 'pleasant' and that is always in the eye of the beholder | 3/20/2017 3:09 PM |
| 2 | Need to be affordable for those currently living in Caton with Little Dale especially young people and need sheltered housing for those who can no longer manage their garden to move to. Miss respite provision we used to have at Moor Platt. | 3/16/2017 10:12 PM |
| 3 | Imperative this should be done. | 3/16/2017 8:49 PM |
| 4 | NEW HOUSES SHOULD BE SUITABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT | 3/16/2017 7:30 PM |
| 5 | There should be more say in the design of new housing. The development at Moor Platt does little to enhance the visitor's impression when passing through. Where are all the new residents going to work? Or get to work on the poor bus service now? Is there any provision for the elderly near the village centre so they can get to the Institute, Co-op, Pharmacy or Doctors? What about the plea for allotments which seems to have been shelved! | 3/16/2017 7:23 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 6 | Apart from Bargh site, already agreed, any further developments should be restricted gto infill or brownfield sites. Avoid blots on the landscape and prevent wholesale and/or incongruous development. We need different types, styles etc. to avoid the typical housing estate look. Not like Moor Platt which could be anywhere in any town in the country not in a small village. | 3/16/2017 6:21 PM |
| 7 | What is meant by "vernacular traditions"?The parish is a mishmash of building styles. HOWEVER I do feel we should protect the frontline view on entering the parish - though fear it is probably too late. If we do have to accommodate low cost housing does it have to look cheap like the recent Moor Platt development? | 3/16/2017 5:58 PM |
| 8 | In keeping with the village. | 3/16/2017 5:47 PM |
| 9 | New houses should be as unlike Moor Platt as posisble. Stone not brick! | 3/16/2017 5:17 PM |
| 10 | Certainly NOT like Moor Platt development. Put on suitable sites but NOT greenbelt areas | 3/15/2017 9:34 PM |
| 11 | The new planning should take into account the limited architectural merit of existing hoouses and how any extension might not improve the look of existing housing but make current housing more appropriate and sustainable for current living. | 3/12/2017 5:29 PM |
| 12 | No | 3/12/2017 4:55 PM |
| 13 | read 13 | 3/12/2017 3:26 PM |
| 14 | I suppose "high quality design" means using excellent architects and this will require more careful choice and more finance. | 3/11/2017 9:31 PM |
| 15 | Situation/style/density of housing development is the most important. There is no vernacular style to model on due to past development in a mix of styles, so new housing should be as sympathetic as possible, ie stone-built, NOT executive style and with decent open spaces. | 3/11/2017 9:08 PM |
| 16 | Skyline - we must learn from Moor Platt - no more 4 bed town houses (facing 17th century cottages) built right up to the road. | 3/10/2017 12:15 PM |
| 17 | Energy efficiency is essential | 3/10/2017 12:09 PM |
| 18 | Flood risk prevention too. | 3/9/2017 12:29 PM |

Q15 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 6 : Do you agree with this approach for supporting sustainable local economic growth and rural diversification?

Answered: 32 Skipped: 53



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.13% | 28.13% | 68.75% | 32 | 4.66 |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 22 | | |

Q16 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 80

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | It will have to be thought carefully so it is discreet. | 3/16/2017 8:50 PM |
| 2 | No, but economic dev and rural diversification must be proportionate and small in scale. | 3/15/2017 10:49 PM |
| 3 | Car Parking is an issue especially around the mini roundabout in Caton. | 3/15/2017 8:11 PM |
| 4 | No, but again it should be proportionate in scale and consistent with the rural and historic character of the parish within the setting of the AONB. | 3/12/2017 4:56 PM |
| 5 | All of this is excellent stuff. The general look of the townscape (or villagescape) is important. Shop signs etc. do need monitoring. Care needs to be taken with the village floorscape, not just for safety but as an important aesthetic feature in the environment. | 3/11/2017 9:34 PM |

Q17 Do you have any other comments about supporting sustainable local economic growth and rural diversification?


Answered: 16 Skipped: 69

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 1 | a 'farmers market' possibly monthly in village [ask owners of Station hotel' for use of their ground] | 3/20/2017 3:10 PM |
| 2 | Start up business/incubation facilities would be beneficial if demand for such premises were evidenced. | 3/17/2017 7:51 AM |
| 3 | It should be blended into existing brown areas, ie behind Co-op/carparking area. | 3/16/2017 8:50 PM |
| 4 | Shop local | 3/16/2017 6:33 PM |
| 5 | Maybe use an example of existing and successful diversification, some of which came about following the Foot and Mouth outbreak, eg farm shops, pony trekking, animal farms etc. | 3/16/2017 6:24 PM |
| 6 | Shop local. Support local businesses. | 3/16/2017 5:47 PM |
| 7 | Encourage people to buy local and use local tradesmen | 3/16/2017 5:18 PM |
| 8 | It is important that adequate infrastructure is put in place. Currently empty buildings need to be filled, eg farm shop. | 3/16/2017 4:54 PM |
| 9 | I would like to see local business doing well. | 3/16/2017 4:27 PM |
| 10 | It is hard to comment on this subject since areas have already been established in the towns to house companies. | 3/15/2017 9:35 PM |
| 11 | Alteration of use of existing businesses must fit within the needs of the village | 3/15/2017 8:11 PM |
| 12 | No | 3/12/2017 4:56 PM |
| 13 | It's a pipe dream....Bargh's left, caton p.o. closed, the ship pub now a restaurant, the station pub a shadow of itself, no cows grazing anymore | 3/12/2017 3:34 PM |
| 14 | New developments in housing esp older modification - sometimes have covenants preventing business use. There are good reasons for this, but they can prevent the sort of "growth and diversification" needed. | 3/11/2017 9:34 PM |
| 15 | Not sure how best to promote local business growth. Is Caton a tourist destination and would we want to increase this? | 3/11/2017 9:09 PM |
| 16 | Ensuring a vibrant local farming community needs emphasis, and to help them preserve the environment. | 3/9/2017 12:32 PM |

Q18 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 7 : Do you agree with this approach for supporting sustainable tourism?

Answered: 31 Skipped: 54

Policies Consultation February - March 2017



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 3.23% 1 | 0.00% 0 | 9.68% 3 | 25.81% 8 | 61.29% 19 | 31 | 4.42 |

Q19 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 74

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | The footpath could nbe extended Northwards when it would become even more popular with walkers. | 3/16/2017 8:51 PM |
| 2 | Public rights of way should be protected. Farmers should be asked not to put aggressive animals such as bulls in fields with access to public pathways. Putting warning signs "Beware of the bull" shoul dnot be regared as acceptable and discouraged! | 3/16/2017 8:18 PM |
| 3 | Start a tearoom | 3/16/2017 5:18 PM |
| 4 | Again, it should be small,scale and proportionate. | 3/15/2017 10:50 PM |
| 5 | Cut out car park charges at the Crook o'Lune car park. | 3/15/2017 9:36 PM |
| 6 | Vital that the views and what attract visitors is not sacrificed. | 3/15/2017 1:35 PM |
| 7 | I'm not certain that I see CwL as an ultimate tourist destination for large numbers of people beyond day trippers and "passing traffic". However, small scale, proportionate tourism linked to walking, cycling, camping and holiday cottages and respectful of the AONB setting will help bring spending and employment opportunities to the parish. | 3/12/2017 5:06 PM |
| 8 | the roads can't support tourism.... but the great joy of the forest of bowland is.....no tourists! | 3/12/2017 3:37 PM |
| 9 | But - not at all sure about caravan sites as it is unlikely that they will not impact upon the landscape. | 3/11/2017 9:35 PM |
| 10 | Camping/caravanning would need to be small scale as such sites can encourage people, rightly from outwith the locality but with no real connection other than a weekend away. | 3/10/2017 12:17 PM |
| 11 | Cycle racks for security and cafe(s) wanted. Enhance environment to make more attractive. Increased wildlife visibility would encourage more to stay. | 3/9/2017 12:38 PM |

Q20 Do you have any other comments about supporting sustainable local economic growth and rural diversification?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 72


| # | Responses | Date |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | ?? what tourism - i guess walkers so main needs are : Cafe open all day & weekends / obvious loos open all day & weekends / more seating | 3/20/2017 3:10 PM |
| 2 | To encourage tourism a good cafe would be an advantage. Walkinog groups and visitors would use it as well as local people. | 3/17/2017 11:43 AM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 3 | No caravan sites anywhere thank you. Dreadful | 3/16/2017 8:51 PM |
| 4 | Maintenance of facilities - see Q.24. Tourists bring spending power that contributes to local incomes, so maintenance becomes important. | 3/16/2017 7:44 PM |
| 5 | NOT NEEDED | 3/16/2017 7:30 PM |
| 6 | Keep the village tidy and colourful. Keep the paths and steps repaired near the bridge. | 3/16/2017 6:34 PM |
| 7 | Make more use of what we have to offer. Scenery, accommodation, walks, cycling, local pubs and shops. Perhaps some cooperation with Lancaster City Council to promote the village and its facilities. | 3/16/2017 6:25 PM |
| 8 | Keep footpaths open. Advertise on website | 3/16/2017 5:48 PM |
| 9 | 17. Do you have any other comments about supporting sustainable local economic growth and rural diversification? ehhh why is 20 the same as 17? | 3/12/2017 3:37 PM |
| 10 | Readily available (for example) A4 maps of local walks highlighting places/features of interest. | 3/10/2017 4:38 PM |
| 11 | Good tourism would help local businesses and facilities | 3/10/2017 12:17 PM |
| 12 | Better public transport is important | 3/10/2017 12:12 PM |
| 13 | This must be centred on local and rural businesses, not incoming big business or "out of town" retail / development, but must move with the times to keep it sustainable. | 3/9/2017 12:38 PM |

Q21 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 8 :Do you agree with this approach for protecting existing and supporting new community and recreational facilities?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 56



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 13.79% | 86.21% | 29 | 4.86 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 | | |

Q22 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 79

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | What about facilities for older and differently-able people? | 3/16/2017 8:53 PM |
| 2 | . | 3/16/2017 7:46 PM |
| 3 | Perhaps facilities for teenagers as nothing available to them. | 3/16/2017 6:26 PM |
| 4 | Be good for all ages to have more leisure, educational, health and more transport facilities | 3/16/2017 4:28 PM |
| 5 | No. | 3/15/2017 10:50 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| 6 | Looks very clear. | 3/11/2017 9:36 PM |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|

Q23 What community and recreational facilities/spaces should be protected? What new ones should be supported?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 71

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 1 | More play areas for young children and additional access to the existing and future cycle paths would be well received. The above existing facilities to be protected. | 3/17/2017 7:55 AM |
| 2 | The recreational ground. The church grounds and facilities. School facilities. | 3/16/2017 8:53 PM |
| 3 | Fell View, Gala Field, school fields, Millennium Footpath, walks by River Luune, field between Caton and Brookhouse | 3/16/2017 8:08 PM |
| 4 | Add Bull Beck picnic/parking site, Cragg Farm parking area, wind farm parking. | 3/16/2017 7:46 PM |
| 5 | Victoria Institute and all its clubs. Play Park - any additional equipment Station field | 3/16/2017 5:49 PM |
| 6 | Gala Field, school playing fields | 3/16/2017 5:19 PM |
| 7 | Support what already exists: ie cricket, football, badminton, tennis, bowls and support to Victoria Institute. We already have many clubs, which should be continually supported. | 3/15/2017 9:38 PM |
| 8 | Support all of those listed but especially Caton Community school field, station field, fell view, millennium way. | 3/15/2017 9:08 PM |
| 9 | The Bowling Green behind the Station could be under threat. The Station field is vital to the sporting life of the village and the Gala | 3/15/2017 8:13 PM |
| 10 | All of the above should be protected where possible where they are still being maintained and utilised. | 3/15/2017 1:38 PM |
| 11 | All the ones listed. | 3/11/2017 9:36 PM |
| 12 | VI, Station Field, Fell View, all footpaths | 3/11/2017 9:10 PM |
| 13 | All | 3/10/2017 4:39 PM |
| 14 | Station field, VI, School playing fields, Millenium Way and other cycle routes, Memorial gardens, Fell View, the green gap between Caton and Brookhouse. | 3/9/2017 12:44 PM |

Q24 Do you have any other comments about protecting existing and supporting new community and recreational facilities?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 76


| # | Responses | Date |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | They only need protecting if they are actively being used on a regular basis . Need a small Gym / Leisure facilities - NOT the full high tech just something less athletic locals could use during day & evenings & weekends | 3/20/2017 3:11 PM |
| 2 | All ideas welcome, relating to all ages and abilities. | 3/17/2017 7:55 AM |
| 3 | A sitting area amongst trees within the village would be greatly appreciated by local residents and visitors alike. | 3/16/2017 8:53 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 4 | <p>With Policy Options 1 & 2 + 7 & 8 over the timespan of the Plan there is the issue of maintenance to flag up. Examples include broken stiles; collapsing bridges; closed footpaths; & the blocked drains and muddy paths that, for example, make the Millenium Cycleway potentially dangerous in places. Lancs CC's Countryside Unit seems to be heading for closure as the focus shifts from the optional extras of non-statutory functions to the Council's statutory ones. There are lots of other examples where public sector resources are decling/disappearing. Over the last decade growing numbers of voluntary/community organisations have adopted some of the ideas inherent in the 'not-for-profit' approach of social enterprises. They have become much more skilled at mobilising members/volunteers to help with maintenance & improvement jobs; & at supplementing public sector funding with £s from other sources: Corporate social responsibility programmes with big &/or local companies donating money, expertise, &, via 'away-days' from the office, voluntary labour Tapping into moneys from trusts that focus on very specific issues. The Lune Rivers Trust is an excellent example of how these trends can be exploited! During the lifetime of this plan it will be possible to improve the provision & maintenance of some facilities in Caton-with-Littledale by matching up resources from companies and trusts with the knowledge & volunteering provided not just by local people, but by members of organisations like the Ramblers Association, the Peak & Northern Footpaths Society, Lancaster District Conservation Volunteers, the Forest of Bowland AONB Friends, the Lancashire Wildlife Trust, Lune Valley Beekeepers, & so on. The same opportunities arise around the maintenance of community facilities/buildings. During the next decade there will be opportunities for the Parish Council to build on what it already does and develop more of a role in this arena. It will be able to extend its role, working both directly and through networking & partnership arrangements. Drawing resources in from outside the public sector will extend its ability, directly and with others, not just to deliver, but to maintain improvements.</p> | 3/16/2017 7:46 PM |
| 5 | Focus on protecting what we already have. Informal and formal recreational spaces are needed. | 3/15/2017 9:08 PM |
| 6 | A new building to replace Scout Hut and Church Hall would be well used and supported. | 3/15/2017 1:38 PM |
| 7 | Support the existing sports areas only. Retain teh facilities that we have by giving your full support. | 3/13/2017 7:23 PM |
| 8 | get rid of that druggy den in the corner of the station field | 3/12/2017 3:39 PM |
| 9 | Where facilities need upgrading or want to expand, they should examine whether a better deal could be achieved by working with other organisations / facilities to the benefit of both. | 3/9/2017 12:44 PM |

Q25 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 9 : Do you agree with this approach for supporting investment in local infrastructure?

Answered: 30 Skipped: 55



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 6.67% 2 | 13.33% 4 | 80.00% 24 | 30 | 4.73 |

Q26 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 78

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|-----------|------|
|---|-----------|------|

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | 1.Many pot-holed roads have not been dealt with properly. 2. Pavement needed at Caton Green. Residents including elderly residents and children at mercy of fast moving cars around two sharp bends and up to a blind bend. Need a sensible speed limit in Caton Green . Ancient spring (marked on old maps) flows over the road surface at Caton Green. and makes road hazardous in winter. Narrow section of road near St Paul's Church should be controlled by a traffic light. | 3/16/2017 9:06 PM |
| 2 | Disagree about highspeed broadband and mobile echnologies infrastructure but support others. But not sure what is meany by "Provision of green infrastructure". | 3/16/2017 8:39 PM |
| 3 | Would like to note developer contributions to assist with parking problems on Moorside Road due to school traffic. Durign school drop off/pickup times congestion and poor pedestrian visibility occurs on Moorside Road. Of particular concern is children walking to and from school negotiating vehicles poorly parked. | 3/16/2017 8:25 PM |
| 4 | There needs to be a lot of attention to making adequate public parking space particularly near the Co-op/Chemist. The existing carparking is just about adequate but we could easily be short of parking if there is a lot of housing development. | 3/16/2017 8:19 PM |
| 5 | No. | 3/15/2017 10:51 PM |
| 6 | Car parking in Caton just about copes at present, but at times it is difficult if coming in from Caton Green or Littledale etc. Finding more space would be helpful for eg the current car park could be expanded by taking down the old library shed. | 3/11/2017 9:39 PM |
| 7 | Ensure maximum done to reduce surface water run off and flood risks whilst enhancing the environment. | 3/9/2017 12:48 PM |

Q27 Do you have any other comments about improving local infrastructure?


Answered: 8 Skipped: 77

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | See response to Q.24 | 3/16/2017 7:47 PM |
| 2 | Highspeed broadband. Regular public transport. Maintain and enhance the offerings at the Victoria Institute. | 3/16/2017 6:27 PM |
| 3 | Some of these are already being done eg. B4RN. Buses and off road parking need attention. | 3/15/2017 1:40 PM |
| 4 | bring back the bus services that were dropped recently | 3/12/2017 3:40 PM |
| 5 | If "renewable energy" means more turbines breaking up the horizon and spoiling, to some extent, our AONB this would not be a good idea. | 3/11/2017 9:39 PM |
| 6 | As a resident living on Quernmore Road, the increase int raffic using this road has definitely increased over time,. The new Link Road has, I think, contributed significantly to this and with mor ehousing planned for the area this can only get worse. Some serious thought needs to be given to how best to manage this. Traffic calming measures maybe, lower speed limits on Quernmore/Lancaster/Hornby Road | 3/10/2017 12:21 PM |
| 7 | I am concerned that teh elderly and non-driving members of our community are being discriminated against regarding the lack of adequate transport in an evening and a Sunday espicially if needing to visit hospital or see family. | 3/10/2017 11:54 AM |
| 8 | Providing a footpath towards Gresgarth from Caton (maybe off roadside?) and getting bicycles off Quernmore Road with all its twists to an alternative route would be useful. | 3/9/2017 12:48 PM |

Q28 Consultation Questions – Policy Option 10: Do you agree with this approach for managing flood risk and improving water quality?

Answered: 30 Skipped: 55

Policies Consultation February - March 2017



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 0.00% 0 | 6.67% 2 | 93.33% 28 | 30 | 4.93 |

Q29 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 75

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Copious tree planting helps to manage flood risk, also improves air quality. We have lost so many trees recently. | 3/16/2017 9:07 PM |
| 2 | In Slow The Flow - check dams, contour tree planting. | 3/16/2017 8:11 PM |
| 3 | Make sure the drains are clean. | 3/16/2017 6:35 PM |
| 4 | Upgrading of the Waste Water Treatment Plant. Ban any development in areas at risk from flooding. | 3/16/2017 6:28 PM |
| 5 | No building on the riverside, as they have done at Halton | 3/16/2017 5:19 PM |
| 6 | Stop flood risk | 3/16/2017 4:29 PM |
| 7 | No - fully agree. | 3/15/2017 10:51 PM |
| 8 | No | 3/12/2017 5:08 PM |
| 9 | All this is both excellent and essential for the future | 3/11/2017 9:39 PM |
| 10 | Where possible, measures to help farmers "slow the flow" of water run off and of watercourses should be obtained - including from development projects elsewhere if appropriate. | 3/9/2017 12:53 PM |

Q30 Do you have any other comments about managing flood risk and improving water quality?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 74


| # | Responses | Date |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Ensuring flood plains remain flood plains [not really adhered to at the moment.. | 3/20/2017 3:12 PM |
| 2 | Main work needed high in catchment. Identify nick points -(highest point in erosion gully) and protecting these, maybe surface with stone surface that can't erode. Work with farmers so they understand the issues and effects of their actions and how they can use the new Countryside Stewardship measures to help Slow the Flow. | 3/16/2017 8:11 PM |
| 3 | Monitoring the leaky condition of the Thirlmere/Manchester pipeline. One farmer says it is getting a lot worse as the years pass. | 3/16/2017 7:48 PM |
| 4 | Not sure. Don't feel I have any knowledge to answer this question. | 3/16/2017 5:50 PM |
| 5 | The United Utilities quality of water to housing in this village leaves a lot to be desired and could be improved - may the system needs new pipework? | 3/15/2017 9:39 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 6 | The utilities do not have a detailed plan of some of the local drain systems, this should be addressed | 3/15/2017 8:14 PM |
| 7 | Restrict draining on the upper land. Grow more trees. | 3/13/2017 7:24 PM |
| 8 | This is essential given the experiences of Storm Desmond. | 3/12/2017 5:08 PM |
| 9 | existing buildings in caton & brookhouse are unaffected by flood, dec 2015 proved that. the same can't be said for future developments, unless they're not built | 3/12/2017 3:44 PM |
| 10 | This is really important | 3/10/2017 12:15 PM |
| 11 | It should be done to the maximum level, not minimum requirement and used to enhance the wildlife / environmental quality as an added community resource. Where 2 equal options of water management exist, this should be the deciding factor. | 3/9/2017 12:53 PM |

Q31 Do you agree with the overall approach to developing the Neighbourhood Plan?

Answered: 36 Skipped: 49



| | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Weighted Average |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| ★ | 5.56% 2 | 2.78% 1 | 0.00% 0 | 11.11% 4 | 80.56% 29 | 36 | 4.58 |

Q32 Should anything be added or changed?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 77

| # | Responses | Date |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | It was all a bit of a disappointment when the final proposals were issued - a feeling of 'looking back' - no real NEW suggestions just feels 'old fashioned' and a paperwork exercise very sad | 3/20/2017 3:16 PM |
| 2 | Include Caton Green into the plan - it officially now does not come under Brookhouse. The floor-scaping of the village should be considered carefully. More resting places for residents and visitors (tippable benches?). Perhaps a one-way system on Copy Lane/Brookhouse Road? Double yellow lines near Artlebeck Bridge? Traffic lights at St Paul's Church? Speed limits to be monitored and enforced. | 3/16/2017 9:10 PM |
| 3 | DO NOT MAKE CATON A SATELLITE OF LANCASTER | 3/16/2017 7:32 PM |
| 4 | Maybe include more details of how the objectives could be implemented, eg improve transport links or try to get the cycle track extended towards Hornby. Pretty up parts of the village with more flowers and plants to enhance the feel-good factor and help to make us all proud of our village. | 3/16/2017 6:09 PM |
| 5 | Significant changes are needed to the housing proposals of the emerging neighbourhood plan, including the need to establish an objectively assessed housing requirement, to include site allocation/s and to support an inclusive and wider mix of dwelling types | 3/16/2017 2:47 PM |
| 6 | No. | 3/15/2017 10:52 PM |
| 7 | scrap the plan & veto any housing developments in caton & brookhouse | 3/12/2017 3:47 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 8 | Traffic speed on Caton Green Road - 60mph through the village - is too high. 30mph should start at Raikes Road coming in through Cloughton. | 3/11/2017 9:42 PM |
|---|---|-------------------|

Q33 Do you have any other comments about any aspect of the Neighbourhood Plan?

Answered: 27 Skipped: 58

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Need consistency of 'decision making criteria' & 'advice / guidelines' by the planning department - this is not happening at the moment . Basic needs [as needed now]: litter - dog fouling - 'abandoned' parking while nipping in to do something. Make the current guidelines work ie parking on pavements / yellow lines - hedge control | 3/20/2017 3:16 PM |
| 2 | We think it covers all the present and future issues. | 3/17/2017 11:37 AM |
| 3 | The objectives to protect the four distinct communities in an area of of outstanding beauty is well worth pursuing and this plan would give local people more say as to what happens in our villages. Having viewed the plans in the Institute we would be hard pressed to think of any improvements. Keeping the six green areas within the village seems an excellent idea. | 3/16/2017 10:25 PM |
| 4 | Thankyou to the leaders and the team for their very hard work! | 3/16/2017 9:10 PM |
| 5 | I don't think people see this as a priority in their lives or realistic when the people wuth the authority to change our lives will carry out their plans regardless of the effect on others lives, rationalising it away in the name of funding, regardless of research based assessment. I think the Group should put their minds to improviing in small ways to start with) the small groups of people in teh village that are workign their socks off to offer community clubs and events, often using thei rown money to carry on. Start with The Gala - give a good prize for the theme leading up to the Gala Day. EG Wray scarecrows. With luck this might make people aware of their neighbours and their village. Its called cohesion. | 3/16/2017 8:33 PM |
| 6 | See Q.9 and the links to housing proposals in City Council's draft local plan. | 3/16/2017 7:49 PM |
| 7 | ANY FUTURE DOCUMENT SHOULD BE WRITTEN IN LANGUAGE SUITED TO THE AVERAGE PERSON. MUCH OF THE CONTENT IS WRITTEN IN CONVOLUTED SENTENCES. HOW MANY SURVEYS HAVE BEEN RETURNED FROM A POPULATION OF NEARLY 3,000? | 3/16/2017 7:32 PM |
| 8 | Caton is a lovely friendly village in a wonderful setting. I hope your plans for its future do come to fruition. | 3/16/2017 7:25 PM |
| 9 | Buses, buses , buses! Not everyone drives a car. More pressure needed on this, teh cuts are really damaging. Subdays, evenings. Its all about meeting needs, young people can't visit friends or entertaint hem at home in evenings, without bus links; and the eldrelly are unable to explore the wider are on Sundays. Tourists who have no cars can't visit us on Sundays. Everyone loses, including business, ACTION! | 3/16/2017 6:45 PM |
| 10 | Thank you to all who have worked on this. | 3/16/2017 6:40 PM |
| 11 | Main issue is the probable increase in housing development. The overall Plan covers a wide range of ideas and objectives. Much work and effort has clearly gone into it but in the final analysis it will progress only if residents support it and the Steering Group has enough collective "teeth" to carry the objectives through. | 3/16/2017 6:31 PM |
| 12 | The land along the old railway line needs clearing and tidying up. | 3/16/2017 5:20 PM |
| 13 | I'm very confused - the NDP letter that came through the door is different to the longer booklet I've picked up at the Institute and now looking through the questions/options 1-10 I'm so confused I feel unable to make any useful comments. Sorry! I do appreciate all the hard work that must have been done by the NDP group to get to this stage of the Plan and hope that teh results of all your work actualy have "clout" in the end with developers. And we don't end up with horrid looking developments like Moor Platt squashed into places all over the village. Thank you for all your efforts with this plan. | 3/16/2017 5:11 PM |
| 14 | I agree with the overall aim. To maiintain the historic nature of Caton with Littledale and that any new development should be designed to blend with the character of existing buildings. | 3/16/2017 5:05 PM |
| 15 | There should be buses run on Sundays and bank holidays and evenings, if only every one and a half hours. If not run in winter they could run in the summer. They can afford to run buses up to Leisure Park every half hour, but not our way. | 3/16/2017 5:01 PM |
| 16 | A bus on Sundays would improve the lives of a lot of lonely and elderly people, even if it was 1 morning to town and 1 back later in the day. | 3/16/2017 4:57 PM |
| 17 | Sensible and far-reaching. | 3/16/2017 4:47 PM |
| 18 | Thankyou to everyone who has worked hard on pulling this together for the village. | 3/16/2017 4:40 PM |

Policies Consultation February - March 2017

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 19 | We should keep Caton and Brookhouse and otehr local areas in natural environments. Affordable housing. Make it a place others would like to visit. Need regular meetings to seet what people like to see how to improve certain things. What has been done in the local Link magazine. Have talks in school about the history of the villages. Have regular events like coffee mornings, keep fit classes, talks on hobbies, birdwatch card. Making places to go for the man, fishing tips, walks. | 3/16/2017 4:32 PM |
| 20 | As always I am interested in the detail, the where, what, when and who, but I am sure this will become clearer inteh near future. Thank you! | 3/16/2017 4:18 PM |
| 21 | The Steering Committee have worked very hard to prepare the Neighbourhood Plan and the Parish should be grateful to them. | 3/15/2017 8:15 PM |
| 22 | Refer back to original consultation returns | 3/13/2017 7:34 PM |
| 23 | I think we need a concerted effort to improve the flora of the village to the same standard when we once won 'Village in Bloom' We need to think of new strategies to include all ages into contributing to the village, ie perhaps following example of Wray Scarecrows but by making something different - animals or flowers? Encouraging all walkers to use a carrier bag to collect litter? I think a supermarket on Bargh's site would be a great asset. | 3/13/2017 7:31 PM |
| 24 | No | 3/13/2017 7:14 PM |
| 25 | I have a question, how much has this cost the ratepayers? | 3/12/2017 3:47 PM |
| 26 | Congratulations to the team for putting together such an excellent and thoughtful piece of work. All residents should be very grateful for the time and expertise which has been put into the document. | 3/11/2017 9:42 PM |
| 27 | SMART targets with measurable timescales | 3/10/2017 11:42 AM |